

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/407,174	09/28/1999	ANDRES SANCHEZ	P18459	2402
7055	7590 08/27/2002			
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1941 ROLA RESTON, V	ND CLARKE PLACE 'A 20191		DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

· .		₩C				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/407,174	SANCHEZ, ANDRES				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MALLING DATE of this communication and	William J Deane	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	September 1999 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

√ Application/Control Number: 09/407,174

Art Unit: 2642

17

1

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 6 and 8 - 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0462488A2 (herein after called EP) (Rust et al.).

With respect to claims 1 and 3The EP application teaches a method of managing telephone data used with a telephone comprising storing telephone data related to at least one of an incoming and outgoing calls in a list, the telephone data being designated as one of public data and private data (see Col. 1, lines 1 – 8 and Col. 4, line 22 and line 35 of the EP application), and limiting access until the user inputs a personal access code (Col. 8, lines 9 – 10).

With respect to claim 2, note Col. 1, line 6.

With respect to claim 4 – 6 and 8 - 10, note Col. 8, lines 11 – 18 and claim 8 of the EP application.

. Application/Control Number: 09/407,174

Art Unit: 2642

3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The EP application and U.S. Patent No. 5,467,388 (Redd, Jr. et al.). The EP application teaches the claimed method and device as claimed by applicant except for the blocking aspect as claimed in claim 7. Note that Redd, Jr. et al. teach this blocking aspect (see Col. 5, line 51 – Col. 7, line 37. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the EP application with such a blocking capability as taught by Redd, Jr. et al. in order to insure privacy.

With respect to the apparatus claims 11 - 15 and the additional method claims 16 - 22, all would be rejected under the same reasoning shown above in rejecting claims 1 - 10.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patent No. 6,324,541 (de l'Etraz et al.) – note public and private databases (Fig. 1); and

. Application/Control Number: 09/407,174

Art Unit: 2642

Page 4

U.S. Patent No. 5,467,383 (Urasaka et al.) – note abstract, col. 3, line 28 – Col. 4, line 44 and Col. 17, lines 14 – 31.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (703) 306-5838. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 872-9314.

17Aug 02